Juvenile Justice Commission

of San Diego County

2021 Yearly Statistics (from Log Books) 0 # Secure detentions OVER 6 hours 0 # Secure detentions UNDER 6 hours 0 # Non-secure detentions OVER 6 hours 27 # Non-secure detentions UNDER 6 hours

Jails and Lockups 2022 Inspection Report

<u>Authority</u>: Pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code Section 209(b), a judge of the juvenile court shall conduct an annual inspection, either in person or through a delegated member of the appropriate county or regional juvenile justice commission, of any law enforcement facility that contains a lockup for adults which, in the preceding year, was used for the secure detention of any minor.

Please respond to sections that apply to the facility you are inspecting (type or print clearly)

Facility Name: C	ceanside Police Department	Date of Inspection	: 9/28/2022		
Address: 3855 Mission Avenue		Date of Last Inspe	Date of Last Inspection: 1/15/2018		
Oceanside, CA 92054		Phone Number:	760-435-4900		
Facility Manager:	Chief Fred Armijo	Contact Person:	Sgt. James Ridenour		
Phone No.:	760-435-4900	Phone No.:	760-435-4552		
Staff Assisting:		E-mail: j.ridenou	E-mail: j.ridenour@oceanside.ca.org		
Phone No.:		Alt. Contact:	Alt. Contact:		

Presiding Juvenile Court Judge:	Hon. Ana España
Commission Chair:	Yvette D. Klepin
Phone No.:	858-634-1555
Inspecting Commissioners:	Francisco Carbajal

I. <u>GENERAL COMMENTS</u>:

This year's lockup inspection included reviewing detention logs from 2021.

The facility is well maintained, and all procedures and regulations conform to existing laws. There is a separate building to the department where youth are further screened and where families can enter to pick up the youth.

Staff was very friendly and answered all questions asked by the Commissioner.

Oceanside Police Department (OPD) has developed a youth mentorship program called Oceanside Youth Partnership. It partners with many local companies to provide support for youth and their families. The program performs periodic strength-based activities and workshops in order to keep youth on a straight path. The program is dependent on private donations.

There was a concern from OPD regarding the documentation of WIC 300 youth on Non-Secure Logs. This has been brought up by many other agencies as well. The Commission and OPD will work with County stakeholders to identify an appropriate location for documentation that is separate from the Non-Secure Logs.

Lastly, OPD has access to many local and county-wide community organizations, but currently, only one MOU with one community organization. This list needs to expand in order to provide the community with multiple diversion options for the diverse youth population, including programs with services that address the specific needs of LGBTQ+ youth. It is just as important as youth services to identify services where people harmed (victims) can also receive support through diversion programs.

Restorative programs can address this by providing the loudest voice to people harmed regarding their impact, healing process, and restitution needs.

II. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS (if any)</u>:

The Juvenile Justice Commission recommends the substation to:

- 1. Collaborate with the North County LGBTQ+ Center to identify periodic trainings for deputies. Collaboration with the Center will also ensure that deputies have diversity in services to refer youth with specific LGBTQ+ needs.
- 2. Begin collaborating with more community-led youth service providers to identify diversion partnerships through Memorandum of Understanding agreements or contracts, to decrease the amount of detentions.
- 3. Identify and partner with community programs that elevate the needs of the people harmed (victims). Mediation services, Victim Offender Dialogue services, and Restorative Justice diversion programs can address this gap in services.
- 4. Collaborate closer with School Districts and other educational programs to ensure youth accountability programs are in place prior to involving law enforcement.
- 5. Continue strengthening community outreach campaigns that builds relationships with community members and non-profit organizations. This can also assist in discovering new diversion options for youth.

The following questions are used to assess compliance with the state standards governing law enforcement facilities in which minors are held in temporary custody. See Article 9, Minors in Temporary Custody in a Law Enforcement Facility, Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations (15 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 1140–1151)

III. **CONDITIONS OF DETENTION:**

	Α.	Are minors provided with orientation?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	В.	Are they informed of the purpose of detention?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	C.	Are they told the length of time detention is expected to last?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	D.	Are they informed of the six-hour maximum time limit?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
IV.	<u>CO</u>	DITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION (e.g. cell/locked room):	
	Α.	What is the proximity of minors to adult inmates? 20+ feet apart with a double security door in between areas.	
	В.	What is the ability and frequency of staff to supervise minor? There is constant adult supervision by staff.	
	C.	Is there constant auditory access to staff?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	D.	Are minors provided with a snack if requested?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	E.	Do minors have access to toilets and washing facilities?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	F.	Do minors have access to a drinking fountain or water?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	G.	Are there provisions to provide clothing or blankets to assure comfort?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

ν. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION OUTSIDE A LOCKED ENCLOSURE:

(this includes minors who are handcuffed to a fixed object such as a rail, bench, chair or table):

Α.	Are minors assured no "contact" with adult inmates?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
В.	Is there constant supervision?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
C.	Is there a 30-minute limit and Watch Commander approval every 30 minutes thereafter?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
D.	Are minors placed in cell when one becomes available?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
Ε.	Do minors have access to toilet and washing facilities?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
F.	Is there access to a drinking fountain?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
CONDITIONS OF NON-SECURE DETENTION:			
Α.	Is there direct and constant supervision by staff during the entire custody period?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No	
В.	Are males and females put in same room?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	

Β. Are males and females put in same room?

VI.

VII. INTOXICATED MINORS:

VIII.

A.	Does the facility have written procedures for the handling of minors under the influence of any intoxicating substances?			🗌 No
В.		he facility detain any minors, either secure or non-secure, mined to be under the influence of an intoxicating substance?	🗌 Yes	🛛 No
	If yes:			
	1.	Was medical clearance obtained?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	2.	Were these detentions documented?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	3.	If the detention was secure, were there documented safety checks no less than once every 15 minutes?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	4.	If the detention was non-secure, was the minor in the constant presence of staff?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	5.	Who provides medical clearance for these minors?		
DOC	UME	NTATION:		
A.		all mandated visual checks documented?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
В.	Are s	secure/non-secure detention logs used?	🖂 Yes	🗌 No
C.	Do the detention logs list the offense and reason which formed the decision to place the minor in secure detention, as well as the length of time the minor was securely detained?			
D.		s the facility have signage posted explaining the procedures for andling of secure / non-secure detention of minors?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No

IX. ARE THERE INSTANCES IN WHICH A MINOR(S) WAS HELD FOR MORE THAN SIX HOURS? IF YES, LIST THE DATES, STARTING AND ENDING TIME AND CIRCUMSTANCES FOR EACH INSTANCE.

There were no instances in which minors were held for more than six hours.

X. Minors Interviewed (Comments):

There were no minors present during the inspection.