Juvenile Justice Commission

of San Diego County

Jails and Lockups 2015 Inspection Report

2014 Yearly Statistics (from Log Books)					
0	# Secure detentions OVER 6 hours				
2	# Secure detentions UNDER 6 hours				
0	# Non-secure detentions OVER 6 hours				
197	# Non-secure detentions UNDER 6 hours				

<u>Authority:</u> Pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code Section 209(b), a judge of the juvenile court shall conduct an annual inspection, either in person or through a delegated member of the appropriate county or regional juvenile justice commission, of any law enforcement facility that contains a lockup for adults which, in the preceding year, was used for the secure detention of any minor.

Please respond to sections that apply to the facility you are inspecting (type or print clearly)

Facility Name: Ch	Chula Vista Police Dept.		Date of Inspection: 2/11/16	
Address: 315			Date of Last Inspection: 5/17/13 Phone Number:	
Chu	ula Vista, CA 91910			
Facility Manager: Chief David Bejarano			Contact Person: Lt. Ph	nil Collum
Presiding Juvenile Court Judge:		Hon. Carolyn M. Ca	ietti	
Commission Chair: Phone No.:		Kim Allan		
		858-634-1555		

I. GENERAL COMMENTS:

- 1. The Chula Vista facility is very clean and well run. The personnel that we interacted with were extremely professional and were very interested in adopting best practices with respect to the detention of juveniles at their facility.
- 2. The sign that the Department uses to notify officers about juvenile detention regulations is the model sign that the Commission uses to show other departments during their jails and lock up inspections.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS (if any)

- 1. We recommend that the Department look into ways to completely separate juveniles from the adults during the fingerprinting and photographing process.
- 2. The Commission also recommends that the Department makes sure that it is using the more current detention log forms as some of the forms we reviewed did not require officers to set forth the reasons for a secure detention.
- 3. That the department should adopt as a practice the orientation for juvenile detainees that is described in Section III of this form.

The following questions are asked to determine compliance with Article 14, *Minors in Temporary Custody of a Lockup/Law Enforcement Facility*, of Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations.

III.	offic	<u>CONDITIONS OF DETENTION</u> : Questions A-D in this section are <u>intentionally left blank</u> . While officers are aware of the rules for juvenile detention, it is not clear whether all minors are informed of these rules when they enter the facility. Please see recommendation 3 in Section II.							
	A.	Are minors provided with orientation?	☐ Yes ☐ No						
	B.	Are they informed of the purpose of detention?	☐ Yes ☐ No						
	C.	Are they told the length of time detention is expected to last?	☐ Yes ☐ No						
	D.	Are they informed of the six-hour maximum time limit?	☐ Yes ☐ No						
IV.	COI	CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION (e.g. cell/locked room):							
	A.	What is the proximity of minors to adult inmates?	Separate except in the photo and fingerprinareas						
	B.	What is the ability and frequency of staff to supervise minor?	Constant						
	C.	Is there constant auditory access to staff?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	D.	Are minors provided with a snack if requested?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	E.	Do minors have access to toilets and washing facilities?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	F.	Do minors have access to a drinking fountain or water?	Yes □ No						
	G.	Are there provisions to provide clothing or blankets to assure comfort?	⊠ Yes □ No						
V.		CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION OUTSIDE A LOCKED ENCLOSURE: (this includes minors who are handcuffed to a fixed object such as a rail, bench, chair or table):							
	A.	Are minors assured no "contact" with adult inmates?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	B.	Is there constant supervision?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	C.	Is there a 30-minute limit and Watch Commander approval every 30 minutes thereafter?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	D.	Are minors placed in cell when one becomes available?	⊠ Yes □ No						
		This is an extremely rare situation.							
	E.	Do minors have access to toilet and washing facilities?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	F.	Is there access to a drinking fountain?	⊠ Yes □ No						
VI.	COI	NDITIONS OF NON-SECURE DETENTION:							
	A.	Is there direct and constant supervision by staff during the entire custody period?	⊠ Yes □ No						
	B.	Are males and females put in same room?	☐ Yes ⊠ No						

VII.	INTOXICATED MINORS:					
	A.	Does the facility have written procedures for the handling of minors under the influence of any intoxicating substances?	⊠ Yes □ No			
	B.	Did the facility detain any minors, either secure or non-secure, determined to be under the influence of an intoxicating substance?	⊠ Yes □ No			
		Detention logs don't have boxes to document medical clearances. if a minor in Chula Vista is detained and exhibits signs of intoxication Scripps Chula Vista Hospital or 9-1-1.				
		If yes:				
		1. Was medical clearance obtained?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
		Intentionally left blank; see comment above.				
		2. Were these detentions documented?	☐ Yes ☐ No			
		Intentionally left blank; see comment above.				
		3. If the detention was secure, were there documented safety checks no less than once every 15 minutes?	⊠ Yes □ No			
		4. If the detention was non-secure, was the minor in the constant presence of staff?	⊠ Yes □ No			
		5. Who provides medical clearance for these minors?	Scripps Chula Vista Hospital or 9-1-1			
VIII.	DOC	CUMENTATION:				
	A.	Are all mandated visual checks documented?				
	B.	Are secure/non-secure detention logs used?	Yes □ No			
	C.	Do the detention logs list the offense and reason which formed the decision to place the minor in secure detention, as well as the length of time the minor was securely detained?				
		Intentionally left blank. In some cases, Chula Vista was using the old detention log forms which do not have a box for listing the reasons for secure detention, but they also use the new detention log forms which have such a box. We recommend that going forward, they use only the new detention log forms and document the reasons for secure detention.				
	D.	Does the facility have signage posted explaining the procedures for the handling of secure / non-secure detention of minors?	⊠ Yes □ No			
		Chula Vista has the model sign posted in three locations.				
IX.	HOL	ARE THERE INSTANCES IN WHICH A MINOR(S) WAS HELD FOR MORE THAN SHOURS? IF YES, LIST THE DATES, STARTING AND ENDING TIME AND CIRCUMSTANCE FOR EACH INSTANCE.				
	No.					
X.	MIN	ORS INTERVIEWED (COMMENTS):				

None were present.

XI.

2015 Yearly Statistics (from Log Books)

- 0 # **Secure** detentions **OVER** 6 hours
- 2 # Secure detentions UNDER 6 hours
- 0 # Non-secure detentions OVER 6 hours
- 154 # Non-secure detentions UNDER 6 hours