Juvenile Justice Commission

of San Diego County

Jails and Lockups 2014 Inspection Report

2013 Yearly Statistics (from Log Books)					
0# <u>Secure</u> detentions OVER 6 hours					
5# <u>Secure</u> detentions UNDER 6 hours					
# Non-secure detentions OVER 6 hours					

<u>Authority:</u> Pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code Section 209(b), a judge of the juvenile court shall conduct an annual inspection, either in person or through a delegated member of the appropriate county or regional juvenile justice commission, of any law enforcement facility that contains a lockup for adults which, in the preceding year, was used for the secure detention of any minor.

<u>Please respond to sections that apply to the facility you are inspecting (type or print clearly)</u>

Facility Name: Oceanside Police		Department Date of Inspection		ection: 12-17-14
Address:	3855 Mission Avenue		Date of Last Inspection: 5-15-13	
	Oceanside, CA 92	2054	Phone Numb	per:
Facility Manage	er: Chief Frank	McCoy	Contact Pers	son: Sgt. Ray Hardy
Phone No.:	760-435-490	00	Phone No.: _	760-435-4821
Staff Assisting:			E-mail:	rhardy@ci.oceanside.ca.us
Phone No.:			Alt. Contact:	
Presiding Juver	nile Court Judge:	Hon. Carolyn Caietti		
Commission Ch	nair:	Kathleen Edwards		
Phone No.:		858-634-1555		
Inspecting Commissioner:		Juvenile Justice Com	mission	

I. **GENERAL COMMENTS**:

Staff was cooperative and cordial. There was a discrepancy between the data reported on the State forms and the logs. Logs showed five secure detentions and four were reported on State forms. Part of this discrepancy could be due to the fact that there are two separate holding areas for minors, one for investigations. On the data sheet prepared for the State, it shows only two months of data for investigations and ten months for regular police station. Additionally, there seemed to be ten days in December of 2013 with no minor secure or non-secure detentions documented.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS (if any):

Discern the difference in reported numbers and apparent missing data from December 2013. Perhaps organize data in one binder by month so that data does not exist on same page for two separate months.

The following questions are asked to determine compliance with Article 14, *Minors in Temporary Custody of a Lockup/Law Enforcement Facility*, of Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations.

III.	II. CONDITIONS OF DETENTION:							
	A.	Are minors provided with orientation?	⊠ Yes □ No					
	B.	Are they informed of the purpose of detention?						
	C.	Are they told the length of time detention is expected to last?						
	D.	Are they informed of the six-hour maximum time limit?	⊠ Yes □ No					
IV.	COI	NDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION (e.g. cell/locked room):						
	A.	What is the proximity of minors to adult inmates?	No contact					
	B.	What is the ability and frequency of staff to supervise minor?	Constant					
	C.	Is there constant auditory access to staff?	⊠ Yes ☐ No					
	D.	Are minors provided with a snack if requested?	⊠ Yes ☐ No					
	E.	Do minors have access to toilets and washing facilities?						
	F.	Do minors have access to a drinking fountain or water?						
	G.	Are there provisions to provide clothing or blankets to assure comfort?						
V. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION OUTSIDE A LOCKED ENCLOSURE: (this includes minors who are handcuffed to a fixed object such as a rail, bench, chair or to the Minors are never handcuffed to a fixed object, so this section is not applicable.								
	A.	Are minors assured no "contact" with adult inmates:	⊠ Yes □ No					
	B.	Is there constant supervision?	⊠ Yes □ No					
	C.	Is there a 30-minute limit and Watch Commander approval every 30 minutes thereafter?	⊠ Yes □ No					
	D.	Are minors placed in cell when one becomes available?	⊠ Yes □ No					
	E.	Do minors have access to toilet and washing facilities?	⊠ Yes □ No					
	F.	Is there access to a drinking fountain?	⊠ Yes □ No					
VI.	CONDITIONS OF NON-SECURE DETENTION:							
	A.	Is there direct and constant supervision by staff during the entire custody period?	⊠ Yes □ No					
	B.	Are males and females put in same room?	☐ Yes ⊠ No					

VII. <u>INTOXICATED MINORS</u> :						
	A.	Does the facility have written procedures for the handling of minors under the influence of any intoxicating substances?	⊠ Yes □ No			
	B.	Did the facility detain any minors, either secure or non-secure, determined to be under the influence of an intoxicating substance?	⊠ Yes □ No			
		If yes:				
		1. Was medical clearance obtained?				
		2. Were these detentions documented?				
		3. If the detention was secure, were there documented safety checks no less than once every 15 minutes?	⊠ Yes □ No			
		4. If the detention was non-secure, was the minor in the constant presence of staff?	⊠ Yes □ No			
		5. Who provides medical clearance for these minors?	EMT			
VIII.	DOC A. B.	Are all mandated visual checks documented? Are secure/non-secure detention logs used?				
	C.	Do the detention logs list the offense and reason which formed the decision to place the minor in secure detention, as well as the length of time the minor was securely detained? Does the facility have signage posted explaining the procedures for the handling of secure / non-secure detention of minors?				
IX.	HOU FOR	THERE INSTANCES IN WHICH A MINOR(S) WAS HELD FO IRS? IF YES, LIST THE DATES, STARTING AND ENDING TIME AN EACH INSTANCE. e is no instance in which a minor was held for more than six hours.				

Minors Interviewed (Comments):
No minors were present.

X.