

Juvenile Justice Commission
of San Diego County

Jails and Lockups 2013 Inspection Report

2012 Yearly Statistics (from Log Books)

 0 # **Secure** detentions **OVER** 6 hours

 0 # **Secure** detentions **UNDER** 6 hours

 0 # **Non-secure** detentions **OVER** 6 hours

Authority: Pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code Section 209(b), a judge of the juvenile court shall conduct an annual inspection, either in person or through a delegated member of the appropriate county or regional juvenile justice commission, of any law enforcement facility that contains a lockup for adults which, in the preceding year, was used for the secure detention of any minor.

Please respond to sections that apply to the facility you are inspecting (type or print clearly)

Facility Name: <u>SDPD – Northeastern Division</u>	Date of Inspection: <u>May 15, 2013</u>
Address: <u>13396 Salmon River Road</u>	Date of Last Inspection: <u>----</u>
<u>San Diego, CA 92129</u>	Phone Number: <u>858-538-8000</u>
Facility Manager: <u>Capt. Joseph Ramos</u>	Contact Person: <u>Off. Bob Carroll</u>
Phone No.: <u>858-538-8000</u>	Phone No.: <u>858-538-8043</u>
Staff Assisting: _____	E-mail: <u>rcarroll@pd.sandiego.gov</u>
Phone No.: _____	Alt. Contact: _____
Presiding Juvenile Court Judge: <u>Hon. Carolyn Caietti</u>	
Commission Chair: <u>Kimberly Allan</u>	
Phone No.: <u>858-694-4422</u>	
Inspecting Commissioner: <u>Charlie Cleaves</u>	

I. GENERAL COMMENTS:

All SDPD Sub-Stations have gone to electronic detention logs which are printed monthly and stored in a binder for inspections and faxing to Sacramento. Definitely an update from the logbooks and all of the Officers present were very proficient with the computer system and log in procedures for Juveniles.

All locks have been removed from the rooms in the building and there are no secure rooms at the substation. Rooms and area were very well maintained for an older facility. No issues with broken pieces etc. and interview area was clean and safe.

94 Total Non Secure custodies under 6 hours. Average stay is 2 hours or less.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS (if any):

Use a different colored paper for the secure custodies when printing out the logs from the computer, regardless if there weren't any.

The following questions are asked to determine compliance with Article 14, *Minors in Temporary Custody of a Lockup/Law Enforcement Facility*, of Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations.

III. CONDITIONS OF DETENTION:

- A. Are minors provided with orientation? Yes No
- B. Are they informed of the purpose of detention? Yes No
- C. Are they told the length of time detention is expected to last? Yes No
- D. Are they informed of the six-hour maximum time limit? Yes No

IV. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION (e.g. cell/locked room):

- A. What is the proximity of minors to adult inmates?
Small area, but separated at all times.
- B. What is the ability and frequency of staff to supervise minor?
Constant Supervision.
- C. Is there constant auditory access to staff? Yes No
- D. Are minors provided with a snack if requested? Yes No
- E. Do minors have access to toilets and washing facilities? Yes No
- F. Do minors have access to a drinking fountain or water? Yes No
- G. Are there provisions to provide clothing or blankets to assure comfort? Yes No

V. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION OUTSIDE A LOCKED ENCLOSURE:

(this includes minors who are handcuffed to a fixed object such as a rail, bench, chair or table):

- A. Are minors assured no "contact" with adult inmates: Yes No
- B. Is there constant supervision? Yes No
- C. Is there a 30-minute limit and Watch Commander approval every 30 minutes thereafter? Yes No
- D. Are minors placed in cell when one becomes available? Yes No
- E. Do minors have access to toilet and washing facilities? Yes No
- F. Is there access to a drinking fountain? Yes No

VI. CONDITIONS OF NON-SECURE DETENTION:

- A. Is there direct and constant supervision by staff during the entire custody period? Yes No
- B. Are males and females put in same room? Yes No

VII. INTOXICATED MINORS:

- A. Does the facility have written procedures for the handling of minors under the influence of any intoxicating substances? Yes No
- B. Did the facility detain any minors, either secure or non-secure, determined to be under the influence of an intoxicating substance? Yes No
- If yes:
1. Was medical clearance obtained? Yes No
 2. Were these detentions documented? Yes No
 3. If the detention was secure, were there documented safety checks no less than once every 15 minutes? Yes No
 4. If the detention was non-secure, was the minor in the constant presence of staff? Yes No
 5. Who provides medical clearance for these minors?

Pomerado Hospital, Children's Hospital, and SDFD Paramedics next door.

VIII. DOCUMENTATION:

- A. Are all mandated visual checks documented? Yes No
- B. Are secure/non-secure detention logs used? Yes No
- All officers use Computer Program.*
- C. Do the detention logs list the offense and reason which formed the decision to place the minor in secure detention, as well as the length of time the minor was securely detained? Yes No
- D. Does the facility have signage posted explaining the procedures for the handling of secure / non-secure detention of minors? Yes No

IX. ARE THERE INSTANCES IN WHICH A MINOR(S) WAS HELD FOR MORE THAN SIX HOURS? IF YES, LIST THE DATES, STARTING AND ENDING TIME AND CIRCUMSTANCES FOR EACH INSTANCE.

NONE DURING 2012.

X. Minors Interviewed (Comments):

NONE PRESENT AT THE TIME OF INSPECTION.